New gTLD Implementation Model



New gTLD Program Goals

 Launch a process to introduce new gTLDs into the Domain Name Space following the direction provided by ICANN's GNSO policy recommendations, specifically

 Provide a timely, clear roadmap for applicants describing the application and evaluation process

Preserve DNS stability and security

Caveat

- The implementation model is just that, a model.
- There has been considerable implementation work done at the direction of the ICANN Board while the Board considers the Policy Recommendations
 The actual implementation may vary from what is presented here

Aspects of the Process

- Simple process in most cases:
 - 5-step inquiry
 - Objective criteria

Robust process when it needs to be:

 Provide a path for addressing objections on specific limited grounds to proposed TLDs
 Resolve situations where there are multiple applications for the same (or very similar) TLDs



Fastest Potential Path of an Application



Main Application Paths







- Expected to last at least 45 calendar days
- Will follow 4-month communications period
- Applications will be reviewed for completeness
- Information on all applications will be posted on ICANN's website <u>at the same time</u>
- Fees:
 - Application
 - Additional —— depending on application path



参考資料3-2 **Types of Applicant** Open of application period Close of application reviewed for completeness
Posting of all applications

- Open:
 - Names generally available to any type of registrant
- Community-based:
 - Addresses a clearly identified, organized and preestablished community with finite membership
 - Is endorsed by that community
 - Features a string that is strongly and specifically associated with the community in question



Has a dedicated registration and use policy

Main Application Paths







- TLD strings need to follow DNS stability rules
 - ASCII rules already published
 - Additional IDN rules under consideration
- Strings checked for similarity
 - Examiners decision informed by algorithm
 - Existing TLDs, reserved names



Initial Evaluation – Applicant



- Applicants are established organizations
- Technical evaluation: Applicant must demonstrate its competence to operate a registry in compliance with industry protocols and standards
- Operational / business evaluation: Applicant must demonstrate its capability to operate a stable registry



Extended Evaluation



- An applicant who does not pass Initial Evaluation may appeal this decision by requesting additional review —> Extended Evaluation
- One additional iteration takes place between applicants and evaluators to clarify information in the application and allow further examination of issues



Main Application Paths





Dispute Resolution Phase



Interests Protected in the Process

- User confusion should be avoided
- Protection of intellectual property rights
- Morality & public order safeguards
 - Interests of governments
- Protection of community interests
 - Religious organizations
 - Geographically based communities
 - Indigenous groups



Dispute Resolution Phase



- Formal objections can be filed during a predetermined period of time
- The dispute between the objector and applicant will be resolved by independent dispute resolution providers (DRPs)
- Fees will be paid directly to the DRPs



Dispute Resolution Process

- Only one dispute resolution service provider will be designated to administer all objections filed under any particular grounds for objection
- ICANN is working toward agreements with independent, internationally-recognized dispute resolution providers (DRPs) to administer the objection and dispute resolution proceedings
- DRPs will work with ICANN to adapt draft procedures and refine standards/guiding principles
- If more than one objection on the same grounds is filed against the same application, the intent is that those proceedings would be consolidated



Main Application Paths



参考資料3-2

Resolving Contention Between Identical / Similar Strings



Resolving Contention Between Identical / Similar Strings

- Occurs when two or more TLD strings that remain after the evaluation and objection stages are identical or result in string confusion
- Applicants will be informed about the contention be given time to mutually resolve it
- Applicants will enter either into<u>comparative</u> <u>evaluation</u>or an <u>auction</u> process



Community-Based Applicants& Comparative Evaluation

- The Policy Recommendations established a preference for community-based applicants
- A bona fide community-based applicant can elect to have contention resolved through a comparative evaluation
- Winner should clearly and convincingly add more value to the global Internet namespace than other contenders
- If no clear winner, parties may proceed to auction



Auction: to resolve string contention

- Economic case for auctions paper to be published
- Different potential auction models are under investigation
- Auction process will be managed by a third party service provider
- Before an auction is conducted, ICANN will undertake a community-based consultation to determine uses of funds consistent with ICANN's mission and for the benefit of the DNS and DNS community







Pre-Delegation Steps Contract Processing Board Approval No Further Investigation

Standard base agreement will be part of RFP

- Staff will recommend Board approval of applicants that have followed the standard process
- Actual delegation of a new gTLD to a successful applicant is contingent upon the applicant's demonstration, to the extent possible, that it has fulfilled the commitments required to meet the baseline criteria
- Pre-determined period of time to make all necessary



structural arrangements for the pre-delegation phase

参者資料3-2

参考資料3-2

Anticipated Timeline



参考資料3-2

Thank You



29